Case 5:08-mj-70756-PVT Document 5 Filed 11/07/08 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

i	CALIFORNIA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case Number CR-D8-70756 PV7
-	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
٠.	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 100, 17, 200
•	
٠.	Assistant U.S. Attorney Chac The United States was represented by
	PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE
<i>:</i>	/ / The defendant is charged with an affirmation in the second se
·	/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while small simple of the defendant has been
	convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the data of
٠	offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later.
	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or analysis
·	This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
	/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
··.	A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
٠.	B. under 18 U.S.C. 8 924(c): use of a firegrown dynamic at
	time voice in the presiment on that we condition and a second in the sec
•	appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
٠.	of the presumption applies. A contract the presumption applies.
٠	ARI II. REBUITAL OF PRESIMPTIONS IF ADDITIONED TO
	The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained. Who Dundice 4740 50 2000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000
٠.	
٠	/ The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: release or of
·	Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.
i t	PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)
	/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required (AND) OR
••	will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR
٠	. The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that a second the second states has proved by clear and convincing evidence that a second states has proved by clear and convincing evidence that a second states has proved by clear and convincing evidence that a second states has proved by clear and convincing evidence that a second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states have been evidence to the second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states have been evidence to the second states and the second states are second states and the second states are second state
	will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
٠.	PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
	77/ 110 COURT has taken into account the factors set out in 10 TTO O C 21/0/
	The reaction of the second of
	white history marcales that he does not want
:	Wrist orders of supervisor requirements.
	- January Comments
	// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.
	TART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
٠.	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
Ä	
.1	the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver
C	defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
:	
_	abusical abusing the state of t
J	SAATTY, PTS PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
	United States Magintunta T. 1